UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | FILING DATE                  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/563,492            | 01/05/2006                   | Xuexian Yang         | L4050.0007          | 8281             |
| 32172<br>DICKSTEIN SI | 7590 08/21/200<br>HAPIRO LLP | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 1633 Broadway         | ,                            | SAMS, MATTHEW C      |                     |                  |
| NEW YORK, NY 10019    |                              |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                       |                              |                      | 2617                |                  |
|                       |                              |                      |                     |                  |
|                       |                              |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                       |                              |                      | 08/21/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
|   | 10/563,492   | YANG ET AL.  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   | MATTHEW SAMS   | 2617   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply   | ppears on the cover sheet with the   | e correspondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be divided will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO | ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |  |  |
| 1)☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ Th 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under   | is action is non-final.<br>ance except for formal matters, p   |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 3-5 and 8-10 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 3-5 and 8-10 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/   | awn from consideration.  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a control and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the corresponding to the corresponding and the corresponding to the cor | ecepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is constant.   | See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                           |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | nts have been received.<br>nts have been received in Applicatority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | ation No<br>ived in this National Stage  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/563,492 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. This office action has been changed in response to the amendment filed on 5/26/2009.

2. Claims 1, 2, 6 and 7 have been canceled. Claims 3 and 8 have been amended.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-5 and 8-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-5 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Western (US-7,489,703) in view of Cimini, Jr. et al. (US-7,301,965 hereinafter, Cimini).

Regarding claim 3, Western teaches a method for improving channel transmission efficiency (Abstract "A quality measurement is performed during the transfer. A second coding scheme is then selected based upon the quality measurement") in a wireless network (Fig. 1) comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 10/563,492

Art Unit: 2617

1) starting data transmission and splitting the MAC layer service data packet according to an initial threshold for the length of the data frame to transmit (Col. 10 lines 20-24, where DCS-X represents the size for an initial coding scheme, wherein the different coding schemes have varying packet lengths, see Figs. 3-6 and Fig. 7 [715])

Page 3

- 2) reading and recording acknowledgement information (ACK) sent by a partner in real-time; (Fig. 7 [730 & 740])
- 3) determining the channel quality of the wireless network according to whether the ACK information has been successfully received for a predetermined times (Fig. 7 [740 & 743]), if the channel of the wireless channel is of a good quality, then increasing the threshold for the length of the data frame split from the MAC layer service data packet, and other wise decreasing the threshold for the length of the data frame; (Fig. 7 [745, 750 & 765])
- 4) splitting a subsequent MAC layer service data packet according to the threshold for the length of the data frame adjusted in step 3) to transmit; (Fig. 7 [755, 757, 767 & 769], Figs. 3-6 and Col. 10 line 53 through Col. 13 line 12) *note:* Fig. 7 is for downlinks, similar discussion follows in Fig. 8 for uplink modifications as well as discussed in Col. 13 line 13 through Col. 15 line 53
- 5) repeating steps 2), 3) and 4) until the end of this data transmission; (Fig. 7 loops back to before step 740)

wherein the step 3) includes the steps of:

3a) presetting a time interval for adjusting the threshold for the length of the data frame; (Western Col. 10 lines 39-45)

3b) determining whether the ACK information is received for the predetermined times within the time interval preset in step 3a), if the ACK information is successfully received for the predetermined times, then the channel of the wireless network being of a good quality and increasing the threshold for the length of the data frame, and otherwise the channel of the wireless network being of a bad quality and decreasing the threshold for the length of the data frame. (Western Fig. 7 [743, 745, 750 & 757] *i.e.* good quality & [743, 745, 765 & 769] *i.e.* bad quality)

Western teaches the mobile station can utilize the IEEE 802.11 protocol (Col. 6 lines 41-45), that the teachings can be applied to network topology besides GSM (Col. 6 lines 49-54) and that a specific number of transmissions are going to be monitored to determine whether the packet size should be changed (Fig. 7 [740-745]), but differs from the claimed invention by not explicitly reciting the preset time interval is a product obtained by multiplying the number of the sent data frames by a maximum time duration required from sending of one data frame to receipt of an ACK of this frame specified in IEEE 802.11 protocol.

In an analogous art, Cimini teaches packet shaping for mixed rate 802.11 wireless networks (Abstract) that includes starting data transmission and splitting the MAC layer service data packet according to an initial threshold for the length of the data frame to transmit (Col. 7 lines 6-23) and having a preset time interval that is a product obtained by multiplying the number of the sent data frames by a maximum time duration required from sending of one data frame to receipt of an ACK of this frame specified in IEEE 802.11 protocol. (Cimini utilizes the MAC detailed in the IEEE 802.11 protocol

(Col. 4 lines 15-43), teaches the transmission of 2 packets (Fig. 12A [FRAGMENT1 and FRAGMENT0]) and that the time to transmit/receive 2 responses (Fig. 12A [ACK0 & ACK1]) is twice the time than if only one is transmitted/received). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to be motivated to implement the method for improving channel transmission efficiency of Western after modifying it to incorporate the ability to have preset time lengths for successfully receiving packets as taught in the IEEE 802.11 network protocol as described by Cimini since having a standardized time prevents an abundance of unnecessary retransmission requests/unsuccessful responses because the time is too short, thereby maximizing the data throughput.

Regarding claim 4, Western in view of Cimini teaches the initial threshold is a threshold specified in *Wireless LAN Media Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications (IEEE 802.11).* (Cimini Col. 1 lines 16-17, Col. 2 line 63 through Col. 3 line 2 and Col. 7 lines 56-63)

Regarding claim 5, Western in view of Cimini teaches wherein the step 3) includes the steps of:

- 3A) presetting the times N for which the ACK information is continuously received successfully before increasing the threshold for the length of the data frame, and the times M for which the ACK information is continuously received unsuccessfully before decreasing the threshold for the length of the data frame; (Western Fig. 7 [740])
- 3B) when the ACK information is continuously received successfully for N times, the channel of the wireless network being of a good quality and increasing the

threshold for the length of the data frame; (Western Fig. 7 [743, 745, 750 & 757] and Figs. 3-6)

3C) when the ACK information is continuously received unsuccessfully for M times, the channel of the wireless network being of a bad quality and decreasing the threshold for the length of the data frame. (Western Fig. 7 [743, 745, 765 & 769] and Figs. 3-6)

Regarding claim 8, Western in view of Cimini teaches wherein the predetermined times for receiving the ACK information is in a range between a number obtained by subtracting the number of lost packets allowable to the user from the number of sent data frames and the number of the sent data frames. (Western Col. 11 line 11 through Col. 12 line 67 *i.e.* based on the value determines which quality category is determined)

Regarding claim 9, Western in view of Cimini teaches the increasing range of the threshold for the length of the data frame is to increase 0-100% of the previous threshold each time; (Cimini Col. 8 lines 5-67, Western Figs. 3-6 and Fig. 7 [750]) and the decreasing range of the threshold for the length of the data frame is to decrease 0-100% of the previous threshold each time. (Cimini Col. 8 lines 5-67, Western Figs. 3-6 and Fig. 7 [765])

Regarding claim 10, Western in view of Cimini teaches the threshold for the length of the data frame is in a range from a minimum frame length threshold specified in IEEE 802.11 specification to a maximum frame length threshold specified in IEEE 802.11 specification. (Cimini Col. 1 lines 16-26 and Col. 4 lines 33-43)

Application/Control Number: 10/563,492

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 7

examiner should be directed to MATTHEW SAMS whose telephone number is

(571)272-8099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MATTHEW SAMS/

Examiner, Art Unit 2617